arXiv:1501.00625v2 [math.PR] 23 May 2015

THE INTERSECTION OF PAST AND FUTURE FOR MULTIVARIATE STATIONARY PROCESSES

AKIHIKO INOUE, YUKIO KASAHARA, AND MOHSEN POURAHMADI

Abstract. We consider an intersection of past and future property of multivariate stationary processes which is the key to deriving various representation theorems for their linear predictor coefficient matrices. We extend useful spectral characterizations for this property from univariate processes to multivariate processes.

1. Introduction

We write $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ for the set of all complex $m \times n$ matrices. Let $\{X(k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a $\mathbb{C}^{q\times 1}$-valued, centered, weakly stationary process, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, which we shall simply call a *q-variate stationary process*. Write $X(k) = (X_1(k), \dots, X_q(k))^{\mathrm{T}}$, and let M be the complex Hilbert space spanned by all the entries $\{X_j(k) : k \in \mathbb{Z},\ j = 1, \dots, q\}$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, which has inner product $(Y_1, Y_2)_M := E[Y_1\overline{Y_2}]$ and norm $\|Y\|_M := (Y, Y)_M^{1/2}$. For $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such as $\{n\}$, $(-\infty, n] := \{n, n-1, \dots\}$, $[n,\infty) := \{n, n+1, \dots\}$, and $[m, n] := \{m, \dots, n\}$ with $m \le n$, we define the closed subspace M_I^X of M by

$$M_I^X := \overline{\mathrm{sp}}\{X_j(k) : j = 1, \dots, q,\ k \in I\}.$$

Notice that $M^X_{[n,n]} = M^X_{\{n\}} = \mathrm{sp}\{X_1(n), \dots, X_q(n)\}$.

In this paper, we are concerned with the following *intersection of past and future* property of a q-variate stationary process $\{X(k)\}$:

$$\text{(IPF)} \qquad M^X_{(-\infty,-1]} \cap M^X_{[-n,\infty)} = M^X_{[-n,-1]}, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$

It is shown in [I1, Theorem 3.1] that a univariate stationary process satisfies (IPF) if it is purely nondeterministic (PND) (see Section 2 below) and has spectral density w such that w^{-1} is integrable. We prove a multivariate analog of this sufficient condition for (IPF). More precisely, we show that a q-variate stationary process $\{X(k)\}$ satisfies (IPF) if $\{X(k)\}$ has maximal rank (see Section 2 below) and has spectral density w such that w^{-1} is integrable (see Corollary 3.6 below). We remark that such a process $\{X(k)\}$ is PND.

The importance of (IPF) for univariate stationary processes is that it, combined with von Neumann's Alternating Projection Theorem (cf. [P, §9.6.3]), allows one to derive explicit and useful representations of finite-past prediction error variances ([I1, I2, IK1]), finite-past predictor coefficients ([IK2]), and partial autocorrelations or Verblunsky coefficients ([I3, BIK, KB]), of $\{X(k)\}$. We can extend this approach introduced by [I1] to multivariate stationary processes. In so doing, the sufficient condition for (IPF) stated above plays a crucial role. In our subsequent work,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G10; secondary 62M10, 60G25.

Mohsen Pourahmadi was supported by the NFS grant DMS-1309586.

under the (IPF) condition and using an argument which involves the Alternating Projection Theorem, we extend various known univariate representations for the finite-past prediction error variances, finite-past predictor coefficients, and partial autocorrelations to the multivariate setting.

The property (IPF) is closely related to the property

$$\text{(CND)} \qquad M^X_{(-\infty,-1]} \cap M^X_{[0,\infty)} = \{0\},$$

called *complete nondeterminism* by Sarason [S]. Pointing out that the essence of a spectral characterization of CND processes had been given by Levinson and McKean [LM], Bloomfield et al. [BJH] considered various characterizations of univariate CND processes. For univariate stationary processes, the equivalence (CND) $\Leftrightarrow$ (PND) + (IPF) holds (see [IK2, Theorem 2.3]). For q-variate processes, this equivalence is not necessarily true (see Remark 3.2 below). The main theorem of this paper is the equivalence between (IPF) and (CND) and their spectral characterizations similar to the univariate ones stated above, under the assumption that $\{X(k)\}$ is PND and has maximal rank (see Theorems 3.5 below). We prove the above sufficient condition for (IPF) that w^{-1} is integrable as a simple corollary of this theorem. We also show an example of $\{X(k)\}$ with (IPF) for which w^{-1} is not integrable, as another corollary of this theorem.

2. Preliminaries

As stated in Section 1, let $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ be the set of all complex $m \times n$ matrices, and I_n the $n \times n$ unit matrix. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, we denote by A^{T} the transpose of A, and by $\bar{A}$ and A^* the complex and Hermitian conjugates of A, respectively. Thus $A^* := \bar{A}^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$, i.e., $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. We write σ for the normalized Lebesgue measure $d\theta/(2\pi)$ on $([-\pi,\pi), \mathcal{B}([-\pi,\pi)))$, where $\mathcal{B}([-\pi,\pi))$ is the Borel σ-algebra of $[-\pi,\pi)$. Thus we have $\sigma([-\pi,\pi)) = 1$. For $p \in [1,\infty)$, we write $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ for the Lebesgue space of measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|f\|_p < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_p := \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^p \sigma(d\theta) \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Let $L_p^{m\times n}(\mathbb{T})$ be the space of $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$-valued functions on $\mathbb{T}$ whose entries belong to $L_p(\mathbb{T})$.

For $p \in [1,\infty)$, the Hardy class $H_p(\mathbb{T})$ on $\mathbb{T}$ is the closed subspace of $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$H_p(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ f \in: L_p(\mathbb{T}) : \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{im\theta} f(e^{i\theta}) \sigma(d\theta) = 0 \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

Let $H_p^{m\times n}(\mathbb{T})$ be the space of $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$-valued functions on $\mathbb{T}$ whose entries belong to $H_p(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit open disk in $\mathbb{C}$, i.e., $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For $p \in [1,\infty)$, we write $H_p(\mathbb{D})$ for the Hardy class on $\mathbb{D}$, consisting of holomorphic functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\sup_{r\in[0,1)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \sigma(d\theta) < \infty.$$

As usual, we identify each function f in $H_p(\mathbb{D})$ with its boundary function

$$f(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{r\uparrow 1} f(re^{i\theta}) \quad \sigma\text{-a.e.}$$

in $H_p(\mathbb{T})$ (cf. Rosenblum and Rovnyak [RR]).

A function h in $H_2^{n\times n}(\mathbb{T})$ is called *outer* if $\det h$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued outer function, that is, $\det h$ satisfies

$$\log|\det h(0)| = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log|\det h(e^{i\theta})|\sigma(d\theta) \tag{2.1}$$

(cf. Katsnelson and Kirstein [KK, Definition 3.1]).

Let $\{X(k)\}$ be a q-variate stationary process. If there exists a nonnegative $q\times q$ Hermitian matrix-valued function w on $\mathbb{T}$, satisfying $w \in L_1^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$E[X(m)X(n)^*] = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i(m-n)\theta} w(e^{i\theta})\sigma(d\theta), \qquad n, m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

then we call w the *spectral density* of $\{X(k)\}$. We say that $\{X(k)\}$ has *maximal rank* if

$$\{X(k)\} \text{ has spectral density } w \text{ such that } \det w(e^{i\theta}) > 0 \ \sigma\text{-a.e.} \tag{MR}$$

(see Rozanov [R, pp. 71–72]). A q-variate stationary process $\{X(k)\}$ is said to be *purely nondeterministic* (PND) if

$$\cap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M^X_{(-\infty,n]} = \{0\}. \tag{PND}$$

Every PND process $\{X(k)\}$ has spectral density but it does not necessarily have maximal rank unlike univariate processes (see [R, Theorem 4.1]). So we combine the two to define the condition

$$\{X(k)\} \text{ satisfies both (MR) and (PND).} \tag{A}$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for (A) is that $\{X(k)\}$ has spectral density w such that $\log\det w \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ (see [R, Theorem 6.1]).

Let $\{X(k)\}$ be a q-variate stationary process satisfying (A), and let w be its spectral density. Then, the spectral density w of $\{X(k)\}$ has a decomposition of the form

$$w(e^{i\theta}) = h(e^{i\theta})h(e^{i\theta})^* \quad \sigma\text{-a.e.} \tag{2.2}$$

for some outer function h in $H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$, and h is unique up to a constant unitary factor (see, e.g., [R, Chapter II] and Helson and Lowdenslager [HL, Theorem 11]).

Lemma 2.1. *We assume* (A). *Then,* $X_j(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 1,\dots,q$, *are linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n)z^n$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, be the power series expansion of h, where $\{c(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^{q\times q}$-valued sequence whose entries $\{c_{i,j}(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $i, j = 1,\dots,q$, belong to ℓ^2. Then, there exists a q-variate stationary process $\{\xi(k)\}$, called the *innovation process* of $\{X(k)\}$, satisfying $E[\xi(n)\xi(m)^*] = \delta_{n,m}I_q$ and

$$X(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n} c(n-k)\xi(k), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$M^X_{(-\infty,n]} = M^{\xi}_{(-\infty,n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $M^{\xi}_{(-\infty,n]} := \overline{\mathrm{sp}}\{\xi_j(k) : k \le 0,\ j = 1, \dots, q\}$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ (see Theorem 4.3 in [R, Chapter II]).

Suppose $\sum_{k=m}^{n} a(k)X(k) = 0$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \le n$ and $a(k) \in \mathbb{C}^{1\times q}$, $k = m, \dots, n$. Let Q be the projection operator from M onto the orthogonal complement $(M^X_{(-\infty,n-1]})^{\perp}$ of $M^X_{(-\infty,n-1]}$. Then,

$$0 = Q\left(\sum\nolimits_{k=m}^{n} a(k)X(k)\right) = a(n)c(0)\xi(n).$$

Since $\xi_1(n), \dots, \xi_q(n)$ are linearly independent, we have $a(n)c(0) = 0$. However, $c(0)$ is invertible by (2.1), whence $a(n) = 0$. In the same way, we also obtain $a(n-1) = \cdots = a(m) = 0$. Thus, $X_j(k)$'s are linearly independent. □

In addition to (2.2), w has a decomposition of the form

$$w(e^{i\theta}) = h_\sharp(e^{i\theta})^* h_\sharp(e^{i\theta}) \quad \sigma\text{-a.e.} \tag{2.3}$$

for another outer function $h_\sharp$ in $H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$, and $h_\sharp$ is also unique up to a constant unitary factor. In fact, for an outer function g in $H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $w(e^{i\theta})^{\mathrm{T}} = g(e^{i\theta})g(e^{i\theta})^*$ σ-a.e., we may take $h_\sharp = g^{\mathrm{T}}$. It should be noticed that while we may take $h_\sharp = h$ for the univariate case $q = 1$, there is no such simple relation between h and $h_\sharp$ for $q \ge 2$.

We denote by $L(w)$ the complex Hilbert space consisting of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}^{1\times q}$ with $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta})w(e^{i\theta})f(e^{i\theta})^*\sigma(d\theta) < \infty$, which has inner product

$$(f, g)_w := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta})w(e^{i\theta})g(e^{i\theta})^*\sigma(d\theta)$$

and norm $\|f\|_w := (f, f)_w^{1/2}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, \dots, q$, we define $e_j(k) \in L(w)$ by

$$e_j(k)(z) := (0, \dots, 0, z^{-k}, 0, \dots, 0), \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

where z^{-k} is in the j-th coordinate. For an interval $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$, let $L_I(w)$ be the closed subspace of $L(w)$ spanned by $\{e_j(k) : k \in I,\ j = 1, \dots, q\}$. By taking I_q as w, we regard $L_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ as the complex Hilbert space $L(I_q)$ with inner product $(f, g)_{I_q} := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta})g(e^{i\theta})^*\sigma(d\theta)$ and norm $\|f\|_{I_q} := (f, f)_{I_q}^{1/2}$, and $H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ as its closed subspace.

We put, for $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\overline{H_p^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})} := \left\{\bar{f} : f \in H_p^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})\right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *We assume* (A). *Then, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and outer functions h and $h_\sharp$ in $H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying* (2.2) *and* (2.3), *respectively, the following two equalities hold:*

$$L_{(-\infty,n]}(w) = z^n \cdot H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T}) \cdot h^{-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$L_{[n,\infty)}(w) = z^{-n} \cdot \overline{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})} \cdot (h_\sharp^*)^{-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We prove only (2.5); one can prove (2.4) in a similar way. Define an antilinear bijection $G : L(w) \to L_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ by $G(f) := \overline{fh_\sharp^*} = \bar{f}h_\sharp^{\mathrm{T}}$. Since

$$\|G(f)\|_{I_q}^2 = \|fh_\sharp^*\|_{I_q}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta})h_\sharp(e^{i\theta})^* \left\{f(e^{i\theta})h_\sharp(e^{i\theta})^*\right\}^* \sigma(d\theta) = \|f\|_w^2,$$

the map G preserves the norms of $f \in L(w)$. Let

$$\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}[z] := \mathrm{sp}\{e_j(k) : k \le 0,\ j = 1, \dots, q\}$$

be the space of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}$. Since $h_\sharp^{\mathrm{T}}$ is also an outer function in $H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$, it follows from the Beurling–Lax–Halmos Theorem that $\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}[z]\cdot h_\sharp^{\mathrm{T}}$ is dense in $H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ (cf. [KK, Remark 5.6 and Theorem 5.3]). Moreover,

$$L_{[n,\infty)}(w)=\overline{\mathrm{sp}}\{e_j(k):k\geq n,\ j=1,\ldots,q\}$$

and

$$G(\mathrm{sp}\{e_j(k):k\geq n,\ j=1,\ldots,q\})=z^n\cdot\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}[z]\cdot h_\sharp^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Thus,

$$L_{[n,\infty)}(w)=G^{-1}\left(z^n\cdot H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})\right)=z^{-n}\cdot\overline{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})}\cdot(h_\sharp^*)^{-1},$$

as desired. □

3. The Past and future

For a q-variate stationary process $\{X(k)\}$, the next theorem holds without (A).

Theorem 3.1. *A q-variate CND process satisfies* (IPF).

Proof. For any q-variate stationary process $\{X(k)\}$, we have

$$M^X_{(-\infty,n]}=M^X_{(-\infty,m-1]}+M^X_{[m,n]},\qquad m,n\in\mathbb{Z},\ m\leq n. \tag{3.1}$$

For, the inclusion $\supset$ is trivial, while $M^X_{(-\infty,m-1]}$ is closed and $M^X_{[m,n]}$ is finite-dimensional, whence $M^X_{(-\infty,m-1]}+M^X_{[m,n]}$ is also closed (see Halmos [H, Problem 8]), which implies $\subset$.

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $x\in M^X_{(-\infty,-1]}\cap M^X_{[-n,\infty)}$. Since $x\in M^X_{(-\infty,-1]}$, it follows from (3.1) that $x=y+z$ for some $y\in M^X_{(-\infty,-n-1]}$ and $z\in M^X_{[-n,-1]}$. Since $x,z\in M^X_{[-n,\infty)}$, we have

$$y=x-z\in M^X_{(-\infty,-n-1]}\cap M^X_{[-n,\infty)}.$$

Therefore, if $\{X(k)\}$ is CND, then $y=0$ or $x=z\in M^X_{[-n,-1]}$, so that

$$M^X_{(-\infty,-1]}\cap M^X_{[-n,\infty)}\subset M^X_{[-n,-1]}.$$

Since the converse inclusion $\supset$ is trivial, $\{X(k)\}$ satisfies (IPF). □

Remark 3.2. The converse of Theorem 3.1 does not hold without additional assumptions. For example, let $\{Y(k):k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ be a univariate CND stationary process; the simplest example is a white noise. Then $\{Y(k)\}$ is PND. Define a two-variate stationary process $\{X(k):k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ by $X(k):=(Y(k-1),Y(k))^{\mathrm{T}}$. For $I\subset\mathbb{Z}$, let $M^Y_I:=\overline{\mathrm{sp}}\{Y(k):k\in I\}$ in $L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$. Then, for $n,m\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $n\leq m$, we have

$$M^X_{(-\infty,n]}=M^Y_{(-\infty,n]},\quad M^X_{[n,\infty)}=M^Y_{[n-1,\infty)},\quad M^X_{[n,m]}=M^Y_{[n-1,m]}.$$

Since $\cap_n M^X_{(-\infty,n]}=\cap_n M^Y_{(-\infty,n]}=\{0\}$, $\{X(k)\}$ is PND. Furthermore, for $n\geq 1$,

$$M^X_{(-\infty,-1]}\cap M^X_{[-n,\infty)}=M^Y_{(-\infty,-1]}\cap M^Y_{[-n-1,\infty)}=M^Y_{[-n-1,-1]}=M^X_{[-n,-1]},$$

whence $\{X(k)\}$ satisfies (IPF). However,

$$M^X_{(-\infty,-1]}\cap M^X_{[0,\infty)}=M^Y_{(-\infty,-1]}\cap M^Y_{[-1,\infty)}=M^Y_{\{-1\}}\neq\{0\},$$

whence $\{X(k)\}$ is not CND. Notice that $\{X(k)\}$ has the degenerate spectral density

$$w_X(e^{i\theta})=\begin{pmatrix} w_Y(e^{i\theta}) & e^{i\theta}w_Y(e^{i\theta}) \\ e^{-i\theta}w_Y(e^{i\theta}) & w_Y(e^{i\theta})\end{pmatrix},$$

where w_Y is the spectral density of $\{Y(k)\}$.

We assume (A), and for outer functions h and $h_\sharp$ in $H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying (2.2) and (2.3), respectively, we consider the following two conditions:

$$\left\{z^{-1}\cdot\overline{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})}\cdot(h_\sharp^*)^{-1}\right\}\cap\left\{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})\cdot h^{-1}\right\}=\{(0,\dots,0)\},\tag{3.2}$$

$$\left\{\overline{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})}\cdot(h_\sharp^*)^{-1}\right\}\cap\left\{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})\cdot h^{-1}\right\}=\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}.\tag{3.3}$$

For any $a\in\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}$, we have $ah_\sharp^*\in\overline{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})}$, $ah\in H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$a=ah_\sharp^*(h_\sharp^*)^{-1}=ahh^{-1},$$

whence the inclusion $\supset$ in (3.3) always holds.

Let $X(k)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}e^{-ik\theta}Z(d\theta)$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, be the spectral representation of $\{X(k)\}$ satisfying (A), where Z is the random spectral measure such that

$$E[Z(\Lambda_1)Z(\Lambda_2)^*]=\int_{\Lambda_1\cap\Lambda_2}w(e^{i\theta})\sigma(d\theta),\qquad \Lambda_1,\Lambda_2\in\mathcal{B}([-\pi,\pi)).$$

Define an isometric isomorphism $S:L(w)\to M$ by

$$S(f):=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}f(e^{i\theta})Z(d\theta),\qquad f\in L(w).$$

Then, $S(e_j(k))=X_j(k)$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $j=1,\dots,q$, whence we have

$$S(L_I(w))=M_I^X,\qquad I\subset\mathbb{Z}.\tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.3. *We assume* (A). *Then, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

1. (3.2) *holds.*
2. $M_{(-\infty,0]}^X\cap M_{[1,\infty)}^X=\{0\}$.

Proof. By (3.4), (2) is equivalent to $L_{(-\infty,0]}(w)\cap L_{[1,\infty)}(w)=\{(0,\dots,0)\}$, which, in turn, is equivalent to (1) by Lemma 2.2. □

Lemma 3.4. *We assume* (A). *Then, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

1. (3.3) *holds.*
2. $M_{(-\infty,0]}^X\cap M_{[0,\infty)}^X=M_{\{0\}}^X$.

Proof. We have $L_{\{0\}}(w)=\mathrm{sp}\{e_j(0):j=1,\dots,q\}=\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}$. Hence, by (3.4), (2) is equivalent to $L_{(-\infty,0]}(w)\cap L_{[0,\infty)}(w)=\mathbb{C}^{1\times q}$, which, in turn, is equivalent to (1) by Lemma 2.2. □

Here is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.5. *We assume* (A). *Then, the following five conditions are equivalent:*

1. (3.2) *holds.*
2. (3.3) *holds.*
3. (CND) *holds.*
4. $M_{(-\infty,-1]}^X\cap M_{[-n,\infty)}^X=M_{[-n,-1]}^X$ *for some* $n\in\mathbb{N}$.
5. (IPF) *holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, (1) and (3) are equivalent. By Lemma 3.4, (2) (resp., (5)) implies (4) (resp., (2)). By Theorem 3.1, (3) implies (5). Suppose (4). Then,

$$M_{(-\infty,-1]}^X\cap M_{[0,\infty)}^X\subset M_{(-\infty,-1]}^X\cap M_{[-n,\infty)}^X=M_{[-n,-1]}^X,$$
$$M_{(-\infty,-1]}^X\cap M_{[0,\infty)}^X\subset M_{(-\infty,n-1]}^X\cap M_{[0,\infty)}^X=M_{[0,n-1]}^X.$$

However, by Lemma 2.1, we have $M^X_{[-n,-1]} \cap M^X_{[0,n-1]} = \{0\}$, whence (3). $\square$

The next corollary gives a sufficient condition for (IPF) in terms of the spectral density.

Corollary 3.6. *We assume* (MR) *and that the spectral density* w *of* $\{X(k)\}$ *satisfies* $w^{-1} \in L_1^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$. *Then* $\{X(k)\}$ *satisfies* (IPF).

Proof. Since $(w^{-1})_{j,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} |(h^{-1})_{i,j}|^2$ for $j = 1, \dots, q$, the condition $w^{-1} \in L_1^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ implies $h^{-1} \in L_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$. Hence, by [KK, Theorem 3.1] and [RR, Theorem 4.23], $h^{-1} \in H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$, so that

$$H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T}) \cdot h^{-1} \subset H_1^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Similarly, we have $(h_\sharp)^{-1} \in H_2^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$, and

$$\overline{H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})} \cdot (h_\sharp^*)^{-1} \subset \overline{H_1^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

However, $\overline{H_1^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})} \cap H_1^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{C}^{1\times q}$, whence (3.3). Therefore, by Theorem 3.5, $\{X(k)\}$ satisfies (IPF). $\square$

Remark 3.7. A stationary process $\{X(k)\}$ is said to be *minimal* if $X(0)$ cannot be interpolated precisely using all the other values of the process. The condition $w^{-1} \in L_1^{q\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ in Theorem 3.5 is known to be necessary and sufficient for the minimality of a stationary process. See Section 10 of [R, Chapter II].

The next corollary gives an example of $\{X(k)\}$ with (IPF) for which w^{-1} is not integrable (compare [BJH, Proposition 3]).

Corollary 3.8. *Let* B *be an invertible matrix in* $\mathbb{C}^{q\times q}$. *Then* $\{X(k)\}$ *with spectral density* $w(e^{i\theta}) = |1 + e^{i\theta}|BB^*$ *satisfies* (IPF).

Proof. We can take $h = (1+z)^{1/2}B$ and $h_\sharp = (1+z)^{1/2}B^*$. Suppose that there exist $f = (f_1, \dots, f_q), g = (g_1, \dots, g_q) \in H_2^{1\times q}(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$z^{-1}\bar{f}(h_\sharp^*)^{-1} = gh^{-1}.$$

Then, since $(h_\sharp^*)^{-1}h = e^{i\theta/2}I_q$ for $z = e^{i\theta}$ $(-\pi < \theta < \pi)$, we have

$$e^{-i\theta}\left\{\overline{f_j(e^{i\theta})}\right\}^2 = \left\{g_j(e^{i\theta})\right\}^2, \qquad j = 1, \dots, q. \tag{3.5}$$

From $(g_j)^2 \in H_1(\mathbb{T})$, we get

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{im\theta}\left\{g_j(e^{i\theta})\right\}^2 \sigma(d\theta) = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, while, from $(f_j)^2 \in H_1(\mathbb{T})$ and (3.5), we see that (3.6) also holds for $m = 0, -1, \dots$, whence $g_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, q$. Thus (3.2) holds. Therefore, by Theorem 3.5, $\{X(k)\}$ satisfies (IPF). $\square$

References

[BIK] N. H. Bingham, A. Inoue and Y. Kasahara, *An explicit representation of Verblunsky coefficients*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **82** (2012), 403–410.

[BJH] P. Bloomfield, N. P. Jewell and E. Hayashi, *Characterizations of completely nondeterministic stochastic processes*, Pacific J. Math. **107** (1983), 307–317.

[H] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

[HL] H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables II*, Acta Math. **106** (1961), 175–213.

[I1] A. Inoue, *Asymptotics for the partial autocorrelation function of a stationary process*, J. Anal. Math. **81** (2000), 65–109.

[I2] A. Inoue, *Asymptotic behavior for partial autocorrelation functions of fractional ARIMA processes*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **12** (2002), 1471–1491.

[I3] A. Inoue, *AR and MA representation of partial autocorrelation functions, with applications*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **140** (2008), 523–551.

[IK1] A. Inoue and Y. Kasahara, *Partial autocorrelation functions of the fractional ARIMA processes with negative degree of differencing*, J. Multivariate Anal. **89** (2004), 135–147.

[IK2] A. Inoue and Y. Kasahara, *Explicit representation of finite predictor coefficients and its applications*, Ann. Statist. **34** (2006), 973–993.

[KK] V. E. Katsnelson and B. Kirstein, *On the theory of matrix-valued functions belonging to the Smirnov class*, Topics in interpolation theory (Leipzig, 1994), 299–350, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **95**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.

[KB] Y. Kasahara and N. H. Bingham, *Verblunsky coefficients and Nehari sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 1363–1378.

[LM] N. Levinson and H. P. McKean, *Weighted trigonometrical approximation on* R^1 *with application to the germ field of a stationary Gaussian noise*, Acta Math. **112** (1964), 99–143.

[P] M. Pourahmadi, *Foundations of Time Series Analysis and Prediction Theory*, John Wiley, New York, 2001.

[RR] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Topics in Hardy Classes and Univalent Functions*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1994.

[R] Y. A. Rozanov, *Stationary Random Processes*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1967.

[S] D. Sarason, *Function Theory on the Unit Circle*, Notes for lectures given at a Conference at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1978.

Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
E-mail address: `inoue100@hiroshima-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0811, Japan
E-mail address: `y-kasa@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp`

Department of Statistics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
E-mail address: `pourahm@stat.tamu.edu`